

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,424	05/12/2005	Ramachandra Shrikrishna Bhagwat	4062-130	2398
23117 NIXON & VA	7590 05/18/200 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH C	SLEBE ROAD, 11TH F	DIAZ, JOSE		
ARLINGTON	, VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2879		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/18/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/511,424	BHAGWAT, RAMACHANDRA SHRIKRISHNA		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JOSE M. DIAZ	2879		

omoorionen vannaa,	Examiner	Art Unit			
	JOSE M. DIAZ	2879			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37°CR 1.1 after SN (6) MORTHS from the mailing date of the communication, if NO print for reply is appetited above, the maximum statutory print or prophy with the solid both of the print of the prophy and the solid print of the p	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 M	arch 2009.				
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	ice except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ΓΟ-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
 Certified copies of the priority documents 					
Certified copies of the priority documents					
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	d in this National	Stage		
application from the International Bureau					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	a.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) [Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date __

Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) Other:

Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20090512

Art Unit: 2879

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The Amendment, filed on March 16, 2009, has been entered and acknowledged by the Examiner.

Claims 1-12 are pending in the instant application.

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

a. A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2, 4, 6-8, 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Endo (4622486).

Regarding claim 1, Endo clearly shows and discloses an incandescent lamp which comprises: a single ended capsule (10) having a press seal (pinch-seal portion 10a) (col. 3, line 5) thereof, one or more filaments (11) located inside the capsule (10) (col. 2, lines 18-19), current connection leads (61) extending from the press seal (10a); an adapter (52) with an elevated or stepped up portion having a slit through the elevated or stepped up portion thereof for receiving the press seal portion (10a) of the capsule (10); an adjustment collar (mounting base 56) having a flat surface and

Art Unit: 2879

downwardly extending sidewalls (60), the adapter (52) being weldably mounted on the adjustment collar (56), the adjustment collar (56) having an opening (58) for receiving at least a part of the press seal portion (10a) and current connection leads (61); and a socket (16) closed at one end with an insulated material (synthetic resin 68, col. 4, lines 18-19) in which electrical connection leads (61+62) are embedded, an open upper portion of the socket (16) receiving the adjustment collar (56) in a snug yet adjustable manner, one or more weld structures (42) for weldably connecting the socket (16) to the adjustment collar (56) and a pair of connection prongs (66) extending outwardly and downwardly from the insulating material (68) (figs. 1-2, col. 3, lines 38-43, and 47-50 and col. 4, line 14).

The new claim limitations, "wherein said adapter, collar and socket are adjusted in three orthogonal dimensions with respect to each other along and around each of three orthogonal axes to achieve an optimized focus, position of said filament before being welded together in a final permanent position", are directed to the method of focusing the position of the lamp's filament, the limitations directed to the method of manufacturing are not germane to the issue of patentability of the device.

Endo's mounting base (56) exemplifies sidewalls extending upwardly, instead of sidewalls extending downwardly as recited in the claim. However, It is considered within the capabilities of a person of ordinary skills in the art to modify the upwardly configuration of Endo into a downwardly configuration as an obvious matter of design engineering, since both configurations are considered structural equivalents.

Art Unit: 2879

Regarding claim 2, Endo clearly shows and discloses the claimed invention, in addition, Endo clearly disclose that the press seal (10a) has two flat surfaces with at least a locking projection (15) extending outwardly from each flat surface (fig. 7, col. 5, lines 20-22).

However, Endo fails to exemplify in the corresponding, that the elevated portion of the adapter having locking flaps extending downwardly into the slit thereof so that when the capsule is pushed into the adapter, the locking projections lock with the locking flaps so that the capsule is held by the adapter without play.

Meanwhile, in another embodiment Endo exemplifies the adapter means (supporting portion 52) with locking flaps (anchoring pieces 54) (fig. 3, col. 3, lines 13-19). Endo teaches structural equivalent structures; therefor one skilled in the art would have been motivated to combine equivalent elements of one embodiment within the structure of another embodiment.

Regarding **claim 4**, Endo clearly shows and discloses that the weld structure (42) comprises inner vertical walls of the socket (16), the vertical walls being weld connected to the adjustable collar (56) (fig. 1, col. 3, lines 47-50).

Regarding claim 6, Endo clearly shows and discloses that the adapter (52) has a flat surface (53) below the elevated portion and the adjustable collar (56) has a flat surface surrounding the opening (58), the adapter (52) and the adjustable collar (56) being weld connected to each other by of their respective flat surfaces (fig. 2, col. 3, lines 38-39).

Art Unit: 2879

Regarding claim 7, Endo clearly shows and discloses an incandescent lamp which comprises: capsule means for providing a single ended capsule (10) having at least one filament (11) located inside the capsule (10) (col. 2, lines 18-19) and current connection leads (61) extending from a press seal (pinch-seal portion 10a) (col. 3, line 5); adapter means for providing an adapter (52) with having a slit (58) therethrough for receiving the press seal portion (10a) of the capsule (10); adjustment collar means for providing an adjustment collar (56) having a flat surface with an opening therein and sidewalls (60), the adapter means being weldably mounted on the flat surface of the adjustment collar (56) with at least a part of the press seal portion (10a) and current connection leads (61) extending through the opening; and socket means for providing a socket (16) closed at one end with an insulated material (synthetic resin 68, col. 4, lines 18-19) in which electrical connection leads (61+62) are embedded, an open upper portion of the socket (16) receiving the adjustment collar (56) in a snug yet adjustable manner along and around a reference axis and a weld structure (42) for weldably connecting the socket (16) to the adjustment collar (56) (figs. 1-2, col. 3, lines 38-43, and 47-50 and col. 4, line 14).

The claimed limitations "wherein said adapter means, adjustment collar means and socket means include relatively adjustable interfaces therebetween which permit relative movement of an inserted capsule along and around each of three mutually orthogonal axes prior to being welded together in permanently fixed relative positions" are directed to the method of focusing the position of the capsule, the limitations

Art Unit: 2879

directed to the method of manufacturing are not germane to the issue of patentability of the device.

Endo's mounting base (56) exemplifies a depression or stepped down portion, instead of an elevated or stepped up portion as recited in the claim. However, It is considered within the capabilities of a person of ordinary skills in the art to modify the upwardly configuration of Endo into a downwardly configuration as an obvious matter of design engineering, since both configurations are considered structural equivalents.

Regarding claim 8, Endo clearly shows and discloses the claimed invention, in addition, Endo clearly disclose that the press seal (10a) has two flat surfaces with at least a locking projection (15) extending outwardly from each flat surface (fig. 7, col. 5, lines 20-22).

However, Endo fails to exemplify in the corresponding, that the elevated portion of the adapter having locking flaps extending downwardly into the slit thereof so that when the capsule is pushed into the adapter, the locking projections lock with the locking flaps so that the capsule is held by the adapter without play.

Meanwhile, in another embodiment Endo exemplifies the adapter means (supporting portion 52) with locking flaps (anchoring pieces 54) (fig. 3, col. 3, lines 13-19). Endo teaches structural equivalent structures; therefor one skilled in the art would have been motivated to combine equivalent elements of one embodiment within the structure of another embodiment.

Art Unit: 2879

Regarding **claim 10**, Endo clearly shows and discloses that the weld structure (42) comprise inner vertical walls of the socket means (16), the vertical walls being weld connected to the adjustable collar means (56) (fig. 1, col. 3, lines 47-50).

Regarding claim 12, Endo clearly shows and discloses that the adapter means (52) has a flat surface (53) below the elevated portion and the adjustable collar means (56) has a flat surface surrounding the opening (58), the adapter means (52) and the adjustable collar means (56) being weld connected to each other by of their respective flat surfaces (fig. 2, col. 3, lines 38-39).

Claims 3 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Endo (4622486), in view of Okano (4864183).

Regarding claim 3, Endo clearly shows and discloses the claimed invention.

However, Endo fails to disclose that the weld structures comprise a plurality of upwardly projecting lugs, the lugs being welded to the adjustable collar after the adjustable collar is positioned inside the socket at a position of optimum focus.

In the same field of endeavor, Okano clearly shows and discloses weld structures comprising a plurality of upwardly projecting lugs (32), the lugs (32) being welded to the adjustable collar after the adjustable collar is positioned inside the socket at a position of optimum focus (figs. 1-2, col. 6, 29-31), in order to securely engage the bulb base.

Art Unit: 2879

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a plurality of upwardly projecting lugs as taught by Okano in the device of Endo, in order to securely engage the bulb base.

Regarding claim 9, Endo clearly shows and discloses the claimed invention.

However, Endo fails to disclose that the weld structures comprise a plurality of upwardly projecting lugs, the lugs being welded to the adjustable collar after the adjustable collar is positioned inside the socket at a position of optimum focus.

In the same field of endeavor, Okano clearly shows and discloses a weld structures comprise a plurality of upwardly projecting lugs (32), the lugs (32) being welded to the adjustable collar after the adjustable collar is positioned inside the socket at a position of optimum focus (figs. 1-2, col. 6, 29-31), in order to securely engage the bulb base.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a plurality of upwardly projecting lugs as taught by Okano in the device of Endo, in order to securely engage the bulb base.

Claims 5 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over, Endo (4622486), and Okano (4864183), in further view of Devir et al. (5041955).

Regarding claim 5, Endo clearly shows and discloses the claimed invention.

However, Endo fails to exemplify that the weld structure comprise outer vertical walls of the socket, the vertical walls being weld connected to the adjustable collar.

Art Unit: 2879

However, it is considered within the capabilities of a person of ordinary skills in the art to modify the weld structure configuration of Endo into a outer vertical walls configuration as an obvious matter of design engineering, since both configurations are considered structural equivalents, as evidenced by Devir on figures 4 and 5 where the collar (28) is located ether inner or outer vertical walls of the socket.

Regarding claim 11, Endo clearly shows and discloses the claimed invention.

However, Endo fails to exemplify that the weld structure comprise outer vertical walls of the socket means, the vertical walls being weld connected to the adjustable collar means.

However, it is considered within the capabilities of a person of ordinary skills in the art to modify the weld structure configuration of Endo into a outer vertical walls configuration as an obvious matter of design engineering, since both configurations are considered structural equivalents, as evidenced by Devir on figures 4 and 5 where the collar means (28) is located ether inner or outer vertical walls of the socket means.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed March 16, 2009, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Examiner most respectfully disagrees with Applicant's arguments. With regards to claims 1 and 7, Applicant basically argues that the limitation "wherein said adapter, collar and socket are adjusted in three orthogonal dimensions with respect to each other along and around each of three orthogonal axes to achieve an optimized focus, position of said filament before being welded together in a final permanent

Art Unit: 2879

position" have patentable weight and distinguish the claimed invention over the prior art based on the "wherein" clause in light of the Court decision in *Hoffer v. Microsoft*, 405 F.3d 1326, 1329 (Fed Cir. 2005). The Court decision in *Hoffer v. Microsoft*, 405 F.3d 1326, 1329 (Fed Cir. 2005) clause "Whereby" or "wherein" was directed to a **method claim**; claims 1 and 7 are **product-by-process claims** that recites a method of focusing the position of the lamp's filament, as stated in the previous Office action. Even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production, see MPEP 2113 [R-1]. In view of these facts independent claims 1 and 7 stands rejected as been obvious over Endo by it self.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 3 and 9 have been fully considered and are persuasive.

With regards to claims 3 and 9 Examiner has corrected the rejection of claims 3 and 9 to be rejected as being obvious over Endo in view of Okano, and clams 1-2, 4, 6-8, 10 and 12 are rejected as to be obvious over Endo alone.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 7 and 10-12 been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 7 and 10-12 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph has been withdrawn.

Art Unit: 2879

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JOSE M. DIAZ whose telephone number is (571)272-9822. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 - 5:00 EST Monday-Thursday; Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel can be reached on 571-272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/José M. Díaz/ Examiner, Art Unit 2879

/Sikha Roy/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2879